Topological Currents in Neutron Stars

James Charbonneau

University of British Columbia Vancouver, BC, Canada

February 2009



- What is a topological current?
- Why do we expect them in neutron stars?

2 Estimating the magnitude of the current.



What is a topological vector current?

They are non-dissipative currents that appear due to topological effects.

Can be derived using

- anomalous effective lagrangians¹
- index theorems²

Topological vector currents have the form

$$\langle j
angle = (\mu_I - \mu_r) rac{e \Phi}{2\pi^2} \, .$$

 $\Phi = magnetic flux$

 $\mu_{I/r}$ = left and right handed electron chemical potential.



Son and Zhitnitsky, hep-ph/0405216 (2004)

²Metlitski and Zhitnitsky, hep-ph/0505072 (2005)

Basic neutron star properties are,

- radius R ~ 10 km.
- cold $T_{\rm star} \sim 10^9~K \ll T_{\rm Fermi} \sim 10^{12}~K.$
- large magnetic field $B \sim 10^{12}$ G. *
- dense $10^{14}~{\rm g/cm^3} \rightarrow \mu_e \sim 80$ MeV. *
- equilibrium processes break P symmetry, e.g., *

$$n + n \rightarrow n + p + e^{-} + \bar{\nu}_e$$

 $n + p + e^{-} \rightarrow n + n + \nu_e$





Estimating the magnitude of the current

- 1. Finite system, electrons not in equilibrium
 - the mean free path of electron with respect to weak interaction $\gg R$.
 - electron leaves the star before it decays.
- 2. Equation from earlier is too idealised and magnetic flux structure is non-trivial.
- 3. Assume the magnetic field is uniform,
 - calculate the creation rate of electrons with parity P for entire star $w/\Omega \cdot V_{star}$
 - normalise to the number of vortices N_v

We arrive at,

$$\langle j \rangle = P_{asym}(B, \mu, T) \frac{w(B, \mu, T)}{\Omega} \frac{V_{star}}{N_v}$$



How big is the current?

The dominant electron producing process is widely debated. Calculate them all.

Kaon condensate, Quarks, and Direct Urca

$$\langle j
angle \sim 10^{-9} \left(rac{T}{10^9 \ \mathrm{K}}
ight)^5 \mathrm{MeV}$$

Modified Urca

$$\langle j
angle \sim 10^{-14} \left(rac{T}{10^9 \ \mathrm{K}}
ight)^7 \mathrm{MeV}$$



per quantum unit of flux (or per single type-II vortex).

Neutron stars have been "kicked";

- \bullet progenitor has proper motion ~ 30 km/s.
- neutron star motion \sim 200 km/s, with some > 1000 km/s.

Electrons exit star and transfer momentum $\left(T \right)^{5}$

- $1000 \left(\frac{T}{10^9 \text{ K}}\right)^5$ years to reach 1000 km/s
- neutron stars have thick crusts, quarks stars have thin crusts
 - \Rightarrow may be a way to tell them apart
- there is now an extra cooling mechanism



Neutron stars precess

- the angle of precession conflicts with type-II vortices.
- a current $j > \frac{1}{4e\lambda}$ running along type-II vortices makes them act like type-I³
- at $T \sim 5 \cdot 10^9$ K the current can satisfy this inequality
- may be too hot for superconductivity, thus no vortices.



current running along type-II vortices

³Charbonneau and Zhitnitsky, astro-ph/0701308 (2007)

Neutron stars have large poloidal magnetic fields $B\sim 10^{12}$ G.

- for stability a toroidal field of the same order is needed
- at $T \sim 10^8$ the modified Urca current induces $B \sim 10^{13}$ G (this includes correction for Meissner effect)
- other currents produce giant fields 10¹⁹ G (almost completely destroys superconductivity)



If there is one fact to take away from this and tell your friends it is,

A current travels along the magnetic field in neutron stars.